ARGONNE ATPESC2024

Data Analysis and Visualization

Visualization & Data Analysis

2 Argonne Leadership Computing Facility

Here's the plan…

- **Examples of visualizations**
- **Visualization tools and formats**
- **Data representations**
- **Visualization for debugging**
- **Advanced Rendering**
- **In Situ Visualization and Analysis**

Multi-Scale Simulation / Visualization Arterial Blood Flow

PI: George Karniadakis, Brown University

2011 2010

2012

2014

Engineering / Combustion / Biofuels

PI: Sibendu Som, Argonne National Laboratory

Climate

PI: Warren Washington, National Center for Atmospheric Research

2012

2022

PI: Rao Kotamarthi, Argonne National Laboratory

2024

8 Argonne Leadership Computing Facility

Physics: Stellar Radiation

PI: Lars Bildsten, University of California, Santa Barbara

2017

2021

2018

Astrophysics

PI: Adam Burrows, Princeton University

²⁰²³ ²⁰²³

Argonne Leadership Computing Facility

HACC: Cosmology

2020 2020

optimizations for Intel GPUs). **PI: Salman Habib and LIACC Toam Argonno HACC Team, Argonne** • National Laboratory **National Laboratory**

macros, SYCL kernels were translated from CUDA using the CUDA using the

11 Argonne Leadership Computing Facility **2021**

Computed and Rendered on 2023 Aurora

Arterial Blood Flow

PI: Amanda Randles, Duke University

Materials Science / Molecular

Data courtesy of: Subramanian Sankaranarayanan, Argonne National Laboratory

Data courtesy of: Paul Kent, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Anouar Benali, Argonne National Laboratory

Visualization Tools and Data Formats

All Sorts of Tools

- Visualization Applications
- –VisIt
- –ParaView
- –EnSight
- Domain Specific
- –VMD, PyMol, Ovito, Vapor
- APIs
- –VTK: visualization
- –ITK: segmentation & registration
- Analysis Environments
- –Matlab
- –Parallel R
- **Utilities**
- –GnuPlot
- –ImageMagick

ParaView & VisIt vs. vtk

ParaView & VisIt

- –General purpose visualization applications
- –GUI-based
- –Client / Server model to support remote visualization
- –Scriptable / Extendable
- –Built on top of vtk (largely)
- –*In situ* capabilities

vtk

- –Programming environment / API
- –Additional capabilities, finer control
- –Smaller memory footprint
- –Requires more expertise (build custom applications)

Data File Formats (ParaView & VisIt)

Tetrad UNIC VASP ZeusMP ANALYZE BOV GMV **Tecplot** Vis5D Xmdv XSF

Data Representations

Data Representations: Cutting Planes

Slice a plane through the data

– Can apply additional visualization methods to resulting plane VisIt & ParaView & vtk good at this

VMD has similar capabilities for some data formats

Data Representations: Volume Rendering

Data Representations: Contours (Isosurfaces)

A Line (2D) or Surface (3D), representing a constant value VisIt & ParaView:

– good at this

vtk:

– same, but again requires more effort

Data Representations: Glyphs

2D or 3D geometric object to represent point data Location dictated by coordinate

- 3D location on mesh
- 2D position in table/graph Attributes of graphical entity dictated by attributes of data
- color, size, orientation

Data Representations: Streamlines

From vector field on a mesh (needs connectivity)

Data Representations: Pathlines

From vector field on a mesh (needs connectivity)

– Trace the path an element will travel over time.

VisIt & ParaView & vtk good at this

Molecular Dynamics Visualization

VMD:

- Lots of domain-specific representations
- Many different file formats
- Animation
- Scriptable

VisIt & ParaView:

- Limited support for these types of representations, but improving VTK:
- Anything's possible if you try hard enough

Visualization for Debugging

Visualization for Debugging

Visualization for Debugging

Visualization as Diagnostics: Color by Thread ID

Advanced Rendering

Rendering

Slide courtesy of Roba Binyahib and Dave Demarle of Intel

Visualizing water flowing through a limestone karst from a South Florida ground core sample. Credit: Data courtesy of Michael Sukop, Sade Garcia, Florida International University and Kevin Cunningham, United States Geologi

Render slice

 \rightarrow x10000 -> Aurora has 7.6M Ray Tracing Units x6 + 2 SPR = node aka "blade" $\sum x^2$ = GPU aka "device" $x4 =$ Tile aka "subdevice"

The Science

Internal Combustion Engine Simulation

TCC Engine Apparatus Fluid Dynamics Simulation

Goal

Provide context to tell the story/explain the science Integrate production tools into the existing visualization pipeline Tools used:

- ParaView
- Maya
- Substance Painter
- V-Ray
- Custom scripts and HPC Resources
- ffmpeg
- Premiere/After Effects

THE VISUALIZATION PIPELINE

Overview

In Situ **Visualization and Analysis**

Five orders of magnitude between compute and I/O capacity on Titan Cray system at ORNL

In Situ vis and Analysis Problem:

FLOPS to I/O Bottleneck

– Frontier

- Peak Performance: 1.6 EF
- Storage: 2-4x Summit's I/O 2.5TB/s. At best 10TB/s
- 5 orders of magnitude difference

– Aurora

- Peak Performance: 1.012 EF
- Storage: 31TB/s
- 5 orders of magnitude difference

Problem

I/O is too expensive Scientists cannot save every timestep, and/or resolution Lost cycles: simulation waits while I/O is happening Lost discoveries: scientists might miss discoveries

Solution: *In situ* visualization and analysis

What is *IN SITU*

Traditionally visualization and analysis happens post hoc

–aka: Data gets saved to the disk, scientist opens it after the simulation has ended

In situ

- –Data gets visualized/analyzed **while** in memory.
- –If zero-copy used, there is no data movement
- –Ideally the data is on the GPU and stays on the GPU

~2014 PHASTA, Catalyst, Ken Jansen

2018 Nek5000, SENSEI

2021 - 2024

Palabos+LAMMPS, SENSEI + Catalyst, bi-directional

nekRS, Ascent + 2024

In Situ Frameworks and Infrastructures at ALCF

AAscent

- Flyweight design, minimizes dependencies
- Data model based on Conduit from LLNL
- Vis and analysis algorithms implemented in VTK-m

// Run Ascent Ascent ascent; ascent.open(); ascent.publish(data); ascent.execute(actions); ascent.close();

VTK-m's main thrust: a write-once-run-everywhere framework

47 Argonne Leadership Computing Facility

Slide courtesy of the ECP VTK-m project

What is Cinema?

- Cinema is part of an integrated workflow, providing a method of extracting, saving, analyzing or modifying and viewing complex data artifacts from large scale simulations.
	- If you're having difficulty exploring the complex results from your simulation, Cinema can help.
- The Cinema 'Ecosystem' is an integrated set of writers, viewers, and algorithms that allow scientists to export, analyze/modify and view Cinema databases.
	- This ecosystem is embodied in widely used tools (ParaView, Vislt, Ascent) and the database specification.

SmartSim Overview

The **SmartSim open-source library** enables scientists, engineers, and researchers to embrace a **"data-in-motion" philosophy** to accelerate the convergence of **AI/data science** techniques and **HPC simulations**

SmartSim enables **simulations** to be used as **engines** within a system, **producing data,** consumed by other services enable **new applications**

- Embed **machine learning** training and inference with **existing** in Fortran/C/C++ **simulations**
- **Communicate** data **between** C, C++, Fortran, and Python **applications**
- Analyze and visualize **data streamed** from **HPC applications** while they are **running**
- **Launch**, **configure**, and **coordinate** complex simulation, analysis, and visualization **workflows**

All of these can be done without touching the filesystem, i.e. **data-in-motion**

Scaling Computational Fluid Dynamics: In Situ Visualization of NekRS using SENSEI

Argonne National Laboratory is a
U.S. Department of Energy laboratory
managed by UChicago Argonne, LLC.

Nekrs + SENSEI

Mateevitsi, Victor A., Mathis Bode, Nicola Ferrier, Paul Fischer, Jens Henrik Göbbert, Joseph A. Insley, Yu-Hsiang Lan et al. "Scaling Computational Fluid Dynamics: In Situ Visualization of NekRS using SENSEI." In *Proceedings of the SC'23 Workshops of The International Conference on High Performance Computing, Network, Storage, and Analysis*, pp. 862-867. 2023.

Introduction

- NekRS
	- Rooted in the Spectral Element Method (SEM)
	- GPU-accelerated thermal-fluid simulation code
	- Predecessor is Nek5000
	- Supports modern heterogenous systems (CPU/GPU)
- Exascale and I/O
	- Exascale machines
		- Disparity between on-chip processing and disk storage is set to widen
	- Data saving to disk notably hampers simulations
		- Tough choice: reduce checkpointing OR simplify the domain
- **Solution**: *In situ* and in transit processing
	- *In situ*: facilitates data processing while in memory
	- In transit: offloads data processing to a set secondary resources
- **How?**
	- SENSEI

SENSEI

Experiments

- Goal
	- Quantify the **computational overhead** introduced by *in situ* and in transit methodologies to CFD codes
- Resources
	- The *in situ* case run on Polaris, at ALCF
	- The in transit case run on JUWELS Booster, at the Jülich Supercomputing Centre
- Reproducibility
	- All source code, analysis code, and use cases have been made available1

1. Victor A. Mateevitsi, Mathis Bode, Nicola Ferrier, Paul Fischer, Jens Henrik Göbbert, Joseph A. Insley, Yu-Hsiang Lan, Misun Min, Michael E. Papka, Saumil Patel, Silvio Rizzi, and Jonathan Windgassen. 2023. Software and Analysis for paper: Scaling Computational Fluid Dynamics: In Situ Visualization of NekRS using SENSEI. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.8377974

Polaris

Polaris System Specs

Results – In situ Pebble-bed reactor case

- **Metrics**
	- Runtime
		- total elapsed wall-clock time
	- Memory footprint
		- aggregate memory high water mark across all MPI ranks.
- **Configurations**
	- **Original:** NekRS sans SENSEI
	- **Checkpointing:** NekRS with built-in checkpointing
	- **Catalyst:** NekRS with SENSEI, employing the Catalyst Adaptor
- Pebble-bed reactor case
	- Pb146 use case simulation from NekRS codebase
	- representation of a pebble-bed nuclear reactor core, housing 146 spherical pebbles
	- Such a simulation is of particular interest, given the growing interest in advanced carbon-neutral nuclear fission reactors

Visualization of the pb146 use case simulation, illustrating flow dynamics within a pebble-bed nuclear reactor

Results – In situ Pebble-bed reactor case

- NekRS simulation
	- Runs on the GPU
	- Ran for 3,000 timesteps
	- Checkpointing and in situ processing at 100 timestep intervals
- Scale
	- 70 nodes -280 ranks $(12.5\%$ of Polaris)
	- 140 nodes -560 ranks $(25%$ of Polaris)
	- 280 nodes $-1,120$ ranks (50% of Polaris)

Visualization of the pb146 use case simulation, illustrating flow dynamics within a pebble-bed nuclear reactor

5.5e+00

JUWELS Booster

- Peak Performance 70.98 PFLOPs
- System Size 936 nodes
-
-
-
- Platform **ATOS BullSequana** • Setup 2020 • Top500 13. (06/2023)
- **Compute Node**
	- 2x AMD EPYC 7402 24-core, 2.8GHz
	- 512 GB DDR memory
	- 4x NVIDIA A100 GPUs
	- 4x Mellanox HDF200 Infiniband
	- 78 TFLOPs (GPUs)
- **System Interconnect**
	- Mellanox Infiniband
	- DragonFly+ topology
	- Adaptive routing

Results – In transit Mesoscale case

• **Mesoscale case**

- Rayleigh-Bénard convection (RBC) - classical natural convection type Basic setup leading to RBC
	- fluid heated from below
- Such simulation is of particular interest to study unusual dynamics of turbulent convection in the sun [1].

• **Simulation**

- Periodic BCs in width and length direction
- In z direction: Temperature: Dirichlet, Velocity: no slip
- Rayleigh number up to 1e12 (full JUWELS Booster runs)
	- examples here are 1e5

[1] Convective mesoscale turbulence at very low Prandtl numbers Ambrish Pandey, Dmitry Krasnov, Katepalli R. Sreenivasan and Jörg Schumacher

Visualization of the temperature field

Strong-scaling plot for JUWELS Booster

Results – In transit Mesoscale case

- **In transit configurations**
	- **No Transport:** No SENSEI endpoint
		- Reference measurement
		- No SENSEI analysis adapter connected
	- **Checkpointing:** SENSEI endpoint writes VTU files
		- pressure and velocity fields
	- **Catalyst:** SENSEI endpoint passes data to Catalyst
		- Renders two images using ParaView over Python
	- Endpoint: SENSEI data consumer
	- Ratio of simulation- to endpoint nodes: 4:1
	- Sustainable Staging Transport (SST) engine of ADIOS2
		- Communication: UCX
		- Control operations: TCP sockets on Infiniband
		- Data marshaling option: BP4

Visualization of the RBC case. A side view and a top view colored by temperature.

